## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHSUETTS

WORCESTER, ss	C.A. NO. 04 CV 40071 NMG
DAMON ASHER (formerly Damon Milhem))	. I
•	
Plaintiff )	
)	
v. )	
)	
DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. )	
Defendant	

## PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND THIS CASE TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS WORCESTER DISTRICT COURT; LEOMINSTER DIVISION

Now comes the Plaintiff, Damon Asher, and, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), respectfully moves this Court to remand the present action to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Worcester District Court; Leominster Division. As grounds for this objection the Plaintiff states as follows:

- This action was originally filed in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Worcester District
  Court; Leominster Division on or about March 13, 2004. Plaintiff served a copy of the
  Complaint and Summons on Defendant Discover Financial Services, Inc. ("Discover")on or
  about April 7, 2004
- 2. The original Complaint contained counts for violations of 15 USCS § 1666 (the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act), Massachusetts General Law ch. 140D, §§ 29, 32, and 34, and Massachusetts General Law ch. 93A §9.
- 3. On May 6, 2004 Defendant Discover filed a Notice of Removal to the U.S. District Court;
  District of Massachusetts claiming that, because of the alleged violations of 15 USCS §
  1666, the U.S. District Court had original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 USCS §
  1331 and removal was proper pursuant to 28 USCS § 1441.
- 4. Plaintiff filed his Objection to the Notice of Removal on May 11, 2004.
- 5. On May 24, 2004 the Plaintiff, as a matter of course pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 15, filed his Amended Complaint which dropped his claim for alleged violations of 15 USCS § 1666.

- As such there is no Federal question at issue and only claims for violations of Massachusetts 6. State Law remain. Therefore, the U.S. District Court has no jurisdiction over this matter.
- Because this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the present case, 28 U.S.C. § 7. 1447(c) requires that the case be remanded to State Court.

## CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), respectfully moves this Court to remand the present action to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Worcester District Court; Leominster Division.

> Respectfully submitted, The Plaintiff, by his attorney,

KIMBERLY J. ASHER, ESQ. BBO# 657115

102 Stoneleigh Road Holden, MA 01520 (781) 290-5788

Dated: May 24, 2004

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Kimberly J. Asher, attorney for the Plaintiff, hereby certify that on May 24, 2004, I served a copy of the foregoing Plaintiff's Motion to Remand this Case to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Worcester District Court; Leominster Division, via first class mail by mailing copies thereof, to:

Kevin Maynard, Esquire Bulkley, Richardson and Gelinas, LLP 1500 Main Street Suite 2700 Post Office Box 15507 Springfield, MA 01115-5507 Andrew J. Drayer, Esquire Bulkley, Richardson and Gelinas, LLP Law Offices One Post Office Square Suite 370 Boston, MA 02109

KIMBERLY ASHER, ESQ.

BBO# 657115

102 Stoneleigh Road Holden, MA 01520 (781) 290-5788

Dated: May 24, 2004